

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

Capital Bulletin

Dateline

Washing

The scandals that could blow the Kennedy Administration out of the water are being given the Alphonse-Ga treatment by a sleeping Republican Party. The first conc Bobby Baker, until very recently the Secretary of the majority in the Senate. Mr. Baker has been forced to re under pressure from Senator John J. Williams (R., Dasi) took the view that prior association with Vice Pres Lyndon B. Johnson did not give a congressional airp's license to poach in the public domain.

Mr. Baker's story is an interesting one. Starting out nothing but the goodwill of the Democratic majority, whom he ran errands and gathered political intelligence, he parlayed his native talents into a neat \$3.5 million is accused in federal court of having received \$10,000 fees from vending machine companies and \$10,000 from contractors doing defense work. But this was not enough, and Mr. Baker branched out on his own. In 1961, to set up a rival vending machine corporation which (no surprise, surprise) began to monopolize this very lucrative business.

What has appalled the senators who looked into the Baker case is its ramifications. For there is more to it than vending machines or the judicious use of political influence. This story cannot be told until it has been told of privilege—but no one in the House or Senate is willing to spill. In fact, the Republican minority sat quizzically while leading Democratic senator after another expressed

Oto Otepka was a key figure in the inquiry leading to these conclusions. As Chief of the Evaluations Division of the State Department's security office, Otepka had delivered an adverse report on Wieland's "suitability and integrity." Otepka told the senators: "I felt and I specified each instance, that I thought there were questions, serious questions of the man's integrity, and I felt that such questions, since they . . . did not relate to the issue as to whether or not he was disloyal . . . should be reviewed and adjudicated under the Foreign Service regulations of the Department of State."

Despite these recommendations, nothing in particular was done about Wieland's security clearance until the subject was raised at President Kennedy's press conference, January 24, 1962. Kennedy stammered an indistinct approbation of Wieland's continued employment, and the following day a State Department memo was dispatched indicating Wieland was to be regarded as free and clear of security shortcomings. Otepka commented: "I don't think I can defend the Department in its course."

Otepka also alleged that men of "questionable background" it positions in the State Department's "security clearances" were being used in sensitive positions. Department higher-ups, according to Otepka's testimony, accused him of falsehood. The New Frontiersmen claimed not to know anything about specific cases mentioned in Otepka's presentation to the Subcommittee.

Otepka was subsequently recalled and asked to substantiate his statements. He did so, producing documents corroborating his previous testimony, chapter and verse. These papers carried notes and initials by the same New Frontiersmen who claimed never to have laid eyes on them. Otepka's rebuttal, according to Subcommittee members, was "iron-clad."

Caught dead to rights, the Kennedy officials assumed a new tack. They could not now charge Otepka with lying—

security
coverup

4. St
enough
to cover
as a wl

In a
Tribun
"This
my offic
they ha
statemen
present
orders

Consi
field, it
spired
obvious
of their
ecutive
ternal S
prerogat
hoped t
policy b

There
embraci
Accordin
land ha
into the
accumul
the secu
former
petence
partmen
difference
allegedly
committ
troversy.